Tech Article

- Aqueous Cleaning
 Costs Explored:
- Report Published
- Author: Mike Jones, MicroCare Vice President of International Sales
- Industries: Electronics, Manufacturing, Medical Device, Aerospace & Defense, Automotive

Published: MicroCare Resources



Vapor degreasers typically are better for cleaning small parts, complex shapes, tight stand-offs and blind holes.



The cleaning experts at MicroCare completed a highly detailed study comparing the costs of aqueous cleaning to the costs of vapor degreasing cleaning. This study was managed by Mr. Rob Lee, of MicroCare, and incorporates the latest information from industry sources, customer experiences and equipment makers.

"In many companies and regions of the world, there is very little knowledge about solvent cleaning," Mr. Lee explained. "People default to the water-based cleaning choice because they are not aware that proven, reliable and safe solvent alternatives are available and will cost less."

The results of the study were surprising. "The cost-per-part-cleaned of a well-tuned vapor degreaser can be as little as 1/10th the costs of an aqueous cleaner," Mr. Lee reports. His team further calculated the capacity of a vapor degreaser is almost three times greater than the capacity of a similar-sized aqueous cleaning systems, all other factors being equal.

Comparison of Aqueous vs. Solvent Cleaning

Comparing an aqueous in-line system & an open-top vapor degreaser, both using a 1600in basket

AQUEOUS	SOLVENT
Excellent	Excellent
Polar, inorganic	Nonpolar, organic
Simple	Complex
May leave spots or stains	No spots or stains
Multiple wash, rinse, dry	Single wash, rinse, dry
Over \$100,000	Under \$100,000
~20 kW/hour	~7 kW/hour
~40 sq. feet	~20 sq. feet
20-25 minutes	6-20 minutes
30-40 gallons/hour	None
100,000 gallons/year	10 gallons/year
Higher	Lower
\$50/gallon	\$160/gallon
Higher	Lower
Lower	Higher
Higher	Lower
	AQUEOUSExcellentPolar, inorganicSimpleMay leave spots or stainsMultiple wash, rinse, dryOver \$100,000~20 kW/hour~40 sq. feet20-25 minutes30-40 gallons/hour100,000 gallons/yearHigher\$50/gallonHigherLowerHigher

Difficult Comparisons:

The catalyst for the study, according to Mr. Lee, was the repeated confusion amongst customers about the difference between the cost of aqueous cleaning fluids — which often are 99% water — versus the cost of solvent cleaners, which are carefully engineered synthetic molecules formulated specifically for cleaning. Vapor degreasing systems are preferred for critical cleaning applications involving complex shapes, delicate substrates, maximum throughput or the highest cleaning standards.

At the heart of the confusion is the fact that aqueous cleaners and solvent cleaners operate completely differently. Aqueous cleaners generally use longer cleaning cycles, high-pressure sprays, expensive detergents and complicated drying systems to clean parts. In contrast, vapor degreasers use subtle chemical engineering to replace the brute-force cleaning of aqueous systems.

Tech Article

These differences mean that vapor degreasers typically are better at cleaning small shapes — such as printed circuit boards — or complex shapes, tight stand-offs and/or blind holes. They also clean more guickly and more gently. A simple, ill-formed comparison of the per-liter cost of the cleaning fluids leads to distorted conclusions.

Study Design:

The study analyzed the main cost elements of operating two comparable precision cleaning systems. The comparative unit was "equivalent basket size" which defines the size of the largest components that can be cleaned in any given machine. To enable meaningful comparisons, the total cost of ownership then is normalized as a "cost-per-part-cleaned" over the life of the cleaning system.

"This actually was a 'best-case' scenario for the water cleaning option because, size-for-size, a vapor degreaser has far higher throughput than an aqueous cleaner," Mr. Lee noted. "If we had modeled costs based on 'equivalent throughput' (parts-per-hour) then the aqueous cleaner would typically have been three or four times larger and even more expensive."

Mr. Lee's team analyzed the costs in two general categories: acquisition costs and operating costs. Acquisition costs included the price of the machine, installation, retrofits to factory spaces and the cost of capital. Operating costs included consumable solvents and detergents, electrical, floor space and incidental expenses such as filters and waste disposal.

Many assumptions were required in this analysis. For example, the specifications from different equipment manufacturers indicated benchmark vapor degreasers used 7 kilowatts of power while equivalent aqueous cleaners used 19 kilowatts. U.S. electrical costs were assumed, although energy costs in Europe and Asia are far higher. Mr. Lee's team also assumed a floor space cost of \$125/sq. ft. (approx. \$1345 per sq. mtr.); obviously this will vary by region. Similar calibrations were developed on labor, waste disposal costs, and other significant factors. A scorecard was developed that you can use to "plug in" your own specific costs to develop localized cleaning cost estimates.

See the scorecard on page 3 and get started.

About the Author:

Mike Jones, retired Vice President of International Sales for MicroCare, has over 30 years of experience in the critical cleaning industry. He is a prolific writer and educator focusing on critical cleaning in general and vapor degreasing and benchtop cleaning in particular.

For more information, visit www.microcare.com.



ISO 9001:2015 Registered © 2020 MicroCare. All Rights Reserved. "MicroCare", the MicroCare logo and "Discover Perfectly Clean" are trademarks or registered trademarks of MicroCare, LLC. Rev. 20221

🛗 in 🗗 🖌 Follow Us!

When comparing long-term cleaning processes, you need to consider more than the cost of equipment or a drum of solvent. It's the total cost-per-part-cleaned that is important. Health, safety and environmental regulations must always be a priority when evaluating the best cleaning process for your business.

Use these important calculations to determine the lowest cost-per-part cleaned.

One-Time Capital Costs at of Constal

Cost of Capital	\$
Cost of Cleaning System	\$
Freight & Insurance	\$
Site Engineering & Architectural Planning Costs	\$
Construction	\$
Electrical Changes	\$
Water/Plumbing	\$
Ventilation	\$
Total Capital Costs:	\$

System Set-Up

Actual Footprint or Size of Machine	
Work Space Multiplier	
Cost per Square Foot	\$
Total System Set-Up:	\$

Throughput Calibration Factors

Cycle Time Parts per Cycle Max. Parts per Hour Required Operating Hours/Day Stand-by Hours/Day (normally much lower costs per hour) Total Throughput:

Operating Costs

Labor: Operator, Cost per Hour (fully-loaded labor rate) Labor: Inspection & Re-cleaning, Cost per Hour Labor: System Testing Labor: System Maintenance, Cost per Hour Electricity Water Consumables (Filters, etc.) Solvent Solvent Losses (Drag-Out) Solvent Disposal Total Operating Costs:

Cost Per Part Cleaned = Total Operating Costs ÷ Total Throughput

Discover Perfectly Clean www.MicroCare.com

MicroCare, LLC MicroCare U.K. Ltd MicroCare Asia Pte Ltd Tel: +65 6271 0182

Tel: +1 860 827 0626 Email: TechSupport@MicroCare.com MicroCare Europe BVBA Tel: +32 2 251 95 05 Email: EuroSales@MicroCare.com Tel: +44 (0) 3501008 Email: mcceurope@microcare.com Email: TechSupport@MicroCare.sg



\$

\$

\$

\$

\$ \$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$_____

ISO 9001:2015 Registered

"MicroCare", the MicroCare logo and "Discover Perfectly Clean" are trademarks or registered trademarks of MicroCare Corporation. The information s forth herein is based on data believed to be reliable. MicroCare makes no warranties express or implied as to its accuracy and assumes no liability ari out of its use by others. This publication is not to be taken as a license to operate under, nor infringe upon, any patents not herein expressly described

Page 3 © 2020 MicroCare. All Rights Reserved.