

De-risking the Transition as Specialty Vapor Degreasing Fluids Are Withdrawn

The announcement that a major manufacturer will withdraw its widely used specialty vapor degreaser cleaning fluids by the end of 2025 presents a significant challenge for surface finishing operations. Workshops that have relied on these fluids for years now face a limited window to adapt. Delays in planning can lead to supply shortages, rising costs, production downtime, and compromised surface quality if substitutions are made without careful evaluation.

This transition reflects broader industry pressures. Evolving environmental, health, and safety expectations, as well as changes in chemical availability, are increasingly affecting production lines. For surface finishing operations, the challenge is not simply finding a replacement fluid but managing the change in a way that keeps process integrity, operational efficiency, and high-quality output.

Understanding the Risks

Cleaning fluids are essential for surface preparation, adhesion, and overall finish quality. When a trusted fluid is withdrawn, production teams must act quickly to de-risk their processes. Even minor deviations in cleaning performance, such as incomplete residue removal or inadequate drying times, can compromise high-quality finishes and lead to downstream issues like coating failures, poor adhesion, corrosion, or part defects.

In many workshops, the loss of a long-standing cleaning fluid prompts urgent reviews of processes that have otherwise remained stable for decades. Teams must evaluate not only the cleaning fluid itself but also associated process steps, such as pre-cleaning, drying, and handling, to ensure consistent results. Without this assessment, even a high-performance replacement may not deliver the expected outcome.

Drivers Behind the Transition

The move away from legacy vapor degreasing fluids is largely driven by operational and industry pressures, including sustainability goals, environmental responsibility, and workplace safety expectations. While these changes support long-term efficiency and compliance, they also introduce operational challenges.

Cleaning fluids selected for decades based on characteristics such as evaporation rate, surface tension, or solvency power cannot always be swapped without affecting process performance. Differences in behavior, for example, how a fluid spreads across a surface, penetrates recesses,

or dries, can affect cleanliness. Understanding these subtleties is crucial to keeping finish quality and operational continuity.

Production Vulnerabilities

The absence of a trusted cleaning fluid creates multiple vulnerabilities. Equipment designed for specific fluid properties may not run optimally with alternatives. Inconsistent cleaning can compromise downstream finishing operations, leaving residual oils, polishing compounds, or fine particulates on surfaces. This can lead to coating defects, reduced corrosion protection, or premature part failure.

Operational risks also extend to workflow and staffing. Operators may need added guidance when introducing a new fluid, and any lack of familiarity with updated procedures can result in inconsistent results. Finding and addressing these vulnerabilities early is critical for a smooth transition.

Managing the Transition

Rather than treating a fluid change as a simple swap, manufacturers should view it as an opportunity to review and optimize processes. Key considerations include:

- **Cleaning cycle times:** Ensuring that parts spend the correct amount of time in each stage to remove all contaminants.
- **Material compatibility:** Confirming that all metals, coatings, and surfaces stay unaffected by the new fluid.
- **Residue control and drying:** Verifying that surfaces are clean, dry, and free from deposits or films.
- **Energy and efficiency impacts:** Evaluating how changes affect throughput, fluid use, and energy consumption.

Some operations implement multi-stage cleaning, combining methods to ensure thorough contaminant removal. Others introduce closer monitoring, data collection, and tighter process control to guarantee predictable performance. For example, checking the cleanliness or dryness of parts after cleaning can highlight inconsistencies early, allowing operators to adjust the process before full-scale production.

Operator training is equally important. Ensuring staff understand changes to process steps, handling, and inspection criteria reduces errors and supports consistency. In practice, workshops often run trials on small batches to build confidence and refine parameters before full-scale implementation.

Balancing Performance, Compliance, and Sustainability

Any cleaning fluid transition involves three interlinked priorities:

1. **Performance:** Parts must be consistently clean, dry, and ready for later finishing.
2. **Compliance:** Handling, storage, and disposal must meet workplace safety and environmental expectations.
3. **Sustainability:** Cleaning systems should minimize emissions, waste, and energy consumption.

Collaboration with vapor degreasing equipment and fluid suppliers is invaluable. Technical guidance, trial support, and workflow-specific recommendations help ensure that new fluids perform consistently without compromising safety or operational continuity. For example, suppliers can advise on best cycle durations, spraying or rinsing techniques, and maintenance practices that keep quality while improving efficiency.

Testing and Validation

Comprehensive validation is critical. Pilot trials allow teams to confirm that the replacement fluid removes contaminants effectively without damaging surfaces. Residue testing is particularly important; even trace contamination can affect coating adhesion, appearance, or performance.

Documenting outcomes, including cycle times, fluid consumption, energy use, and cleaning results, supports long-term optimization and quality assurance. Structured testing also familiarizes operators with updated procedures, reducing the risk of errors and ensuring consistent results once full-scale production begins.

Future-Proofing Operations

Manufacturers that proactively check cleaning fluid availability, process performance, and operational trends are better positioned to avoid production interruptions. Some invest in equipment capable of handling multiple fluid types, offering flexibility for future transitions. Others redesign workflows to allow easier containment, recovery, or low-temperature operation.

Adopting such strategies can turn potential operational risks into opportunities for efficiency gains, waste reduction, and improved workplace safety. In practice, facilities may also consider enhanced process monitoring, such as logging cleanliness metrics to catch deviations early and support consistent quality.

Practical Recommendations

For surface finishing operations approaching the 2025 fluid withdrawal deadline, prompt action is essential. A structured approach might include:

1. **Assess current processes:** Name all fluids, equipment, and potential operational risks.

2. **Engage technical partners:** Collaborate with suppliers for guidance, pilot testing, and workflow recommendations.
3. **Conduct pilot trials:** Confirm cleaning performance under real production conditions and document results.
4. **Train operators:** Ensure staff are fully familiar with updated procedures and safety protocols.
5. **Monitor and optimize:** Track cleaning efficiency, fluid use, energy consumption, and finish quality over time.

By following these steps, manufacturers can keep both operational continuity and finish integrity.

Turning a Challenge into Opportunity

The withdrawal of long-standing specialty vapor degreasing fluids presents both a challenge and an opportunity. Manufacturers that take a proactive, structured approach, through early trials, careful validation, and close collaboration with suppliers, can support high-quality outcomes while minimizing operational risk.

De-risking the transition is not merely about swapping one fluid for another; it is about understanding process dependencies, safeguarding finish quality, and building resilient workflows. By planning carefully, documenting processes, and training operators, manufacturers can manage fluid withdrawals without disrupting production or compromising finish quality.

Author Information:

Elizabeth Norwood is a Senior Chemist at MicroCare, LLC, which offers precision cleaning solutions. She has been in the industry for more than 25 years and holds a BS in Chemistry from the University of St. Joseph. Norwood researches, develops and tests cleaning-related products. She currently has one patent issued and two pending for her work.

For more information, visit www.microcare.com.